



PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMR control comment.

Under the Pa	perwork Reduction Act of 1995	no person		ection of info	ormation t	niess it c	isplays a valid OlviB control number.
			Application Number	10/773,652	2		
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date	February 6	February 6, 2004		
			First Named Inventor	Logosz			
			Art Unit	3643	-		
			Examiner Name	Collins	_		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Attorney Docket Number				
Total Number of	f Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	SSKB -202	2		
		ENC	LOSURES (Check all	that apply	<i>(</i>)		
Amendm A A Extension Express Informati Certified Documen Reply to Incomple	smittal Form ee Attached ent/Reply fter Final ffidavits/declaration(s) n of Time Request Abandonment Request on Disclosure Statement Copy of Priority nt(s) Missing Parts/ ete Application teply to Missing Parts nder 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatio Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD arks	Address	Retur	Appeal of Appeal (Appeal (Appeal Propriet Status Other I below)	Enclosure(s) (please Identify
	SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, C	OR AGI	ENT	
Firm Name Signature	Mil	_ (n			<u> </u>	
Printed name	Nathan Koenig			Day Na	 		
Date	June 13, 2005			Reg. No.	38,210	_	
I hereby certify t sufficient postag the date shown	hat this correspondence is le e as first class mail in an er	neing facs	CATE OF TRANSMISS simile transmitted to the USPT ddressed to: Commissioner fo	O or depos	sited with	the Un 1450, /	ited States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
olghatule	tu)		- Com		_		
Typed or printed	name Nathan Koenig			\		Date	June 13, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Logosz)				
FOR: INFLATABLE WING WITH MANIFOLD)				
)				
SERIAL NO: 10/773,652)				
FILED: February 6, 2004)				
ART UNIT NO: 3643)				
EXAMINER: Collins					
DOCKET NO: SSKB - 202)				

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION

Commissioner of Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Restriction Requirement mailed May 12, 2005, Applicant elects, with traverse, Group I, Claims 1-8. With respect to the required species elections, Applicant submits that in addition to the types of valves recited in Claim 5 and identified by the Examiner, an alternate type of valve as defined by this invention includes a clamp as covered by Claim 4. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully elects the species disclosed by Claim 4, and submits that Claims 1-3 and 6-8 relate to this species.

As noted, this election is made with traverse. With respect to the relationship between Groups I and II, the Examiner asserts that the inventions are unrelated because "one is a type of a wing and the other is an inflatable raft or other such structure." Applicant respectfully submits that both sets of claims are directed to inflatable structures, Group I being the more generic.

Attorney Docket: SSKB -202

However, the inflatable wings of Group II are examples of the type of inflatable structures claimed in Group I.

Also, the Examiner states Groups I and III are unrelated, noting "one is a raft and the other is a method of making a wing which has nothing to do with any inflatable structure such as a raft." Again, Applicant respectfully submits that Group I is directed to generic inflatable structures, including aerodynamic wings such as leading edge inflatable kites (see Claim 6, e.g.). As such, the method of Group III involves the use of an apparatus such as claimed in Group I.

Applicant believes that each issue raised in the Restriction Requirement has been addressed. If it would expedite matter, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's representative, Nathan Koenig, at (541) 806-2252.

Respectfully submitted,

Nathan Koenig Reg. No. 38,210